

Economics 2: Growth (Golden Rule)

© Kumar Aniket

Lecture 6, Week 9

Effective Units of Labour

- AL : effective units of labour
- $\tilde{k}_t = \frac{K}{AL}$: capital stock per effective unit of labour
- $\tilde{y}_t = \frac{Y}{AL}$: output per effective unit of labour

Effective Units of Labour

- AL : effective units of labour
- $\tilde{k}_t = \frac{K}{AL}$: capital stock per effective unit of labour
- $\tilde{y}_t = \frac{Y}{AL}$: output per effective unit of labour
- Fundamental Equation - III

$$\frac{\Delta \tilde{k}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} = s \frac{\tilde{y}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} - (\delta + n + g)$$

*In convergence dynamics, saving does not **exactly** offset the reduction in \tilde{k}_t attributable to depreciation, population growth and technological progress.*

- Growth rate of \tilde{k}_t (and \tilde{y}_t) determined by s, δ, n and g .

Steady State

- Steady State

$$\frac{\tilde{y}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} = \frac{\delta + n + g}{s}$$

In steady state, saving $sf(\tilde{k}_t)$ exactly offsets the reduction in \tilde{k}_t attributable to depreciation, population growth and technological progress.

- Level of \tilde{k}_t (and \tilde{y}_t) determined by s, δ, n and g

Growth: Steady State vs Convergence Dynamics

$$\frac{\Delta \tilde{k}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} = s \frac{\tilde{y}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} - (\delta + n + g) \quad (\text{Convergence dynamics})$$

$$\frac{\Delta \tilde{k}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} = s \frac{\tilde{y}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} - (\delta + n + g) = 0 \quad (\text{Steady State})$$

Growth: Steady State vs Convergence Dynamics

$$\frac{\Delta \tilde{k}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} = s \frac{\tilde{y}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} - (\delta + n + g) \quad (\text{Convergence dynamics})$$

$$\frac{\Delta \tilde{k}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} = s \frac{\tilde{y}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} - (\delta + n + g) = 0 \quad (\text{Steady State})$$

$$\frac{\Delta \tilde{k}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{k}_t \text{ does not grow}$$

Growth: Steady State vs Convergence Dynamics

$$\frac{\Delta \tilde{k}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} = s \frac{\tilde{y}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} - (\delta + n + g) \quad (\text{Convergence dynamics})$$

$$\frac{\Delta \tilde{k}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} = s \frac{\tilde{y}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} - (\delta + n + g) = 0 \quad (\text{Steady State})$$

$$\frac{\Delta \tilde{k}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{k}_t \text{ does not grow}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \tilde{k}_t}{\tilde{k}_t} = \frac{\Delta k}{k} - g = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad k \text{ grows at the rate } g$$

$$\frac{\Delta \tilde{y}_t}{\tilde{y}_t} = \frac{\Delta y}{y} - g = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y \text{ grows at } g$$

Social Welfare Maximization

\tilde{k}^* determined by s, n, δ and g .

$$\frac{f(\tilde{k}^*)}{\tilde{k}^*} = \frac{(\delta + n + g)}{s}$$

Any steady state (any \tilde{k}^) can be reached with the right combination of s, n, δ and g*

Social Welfare Maximization

\tilde{k}^* determined by s, n, δ and g .

$$\frac{f(\tilde{k}^*)}{\tilde{k}^*} = \frac{(\delta + n + g)}{s}$$

Any steady state (any \tilde{k}^) can be reached with the right combination of s, n, δ and g*

Which one is the best social welfare maximising steady state

What **social welfare maximization** mean?

Social Welfare Maximization

- ▶ **Simple rule:** Consider consumption per head in steady state

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}^*(\tilde{k}^*) &= \tilde{y}^* - s \cdot \tilde{y}^* \\ &= f(\tilde{k}^*) - s \cdot f(\tilde{k}^*)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Intertemporal choice:

Social Welfare Maximization

- ▶ **Simple rule:** Consider consumption per head in steady state

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}^*(\tilde{k}^*) &= \tilde{y}^* - s \cdot \tilde{y}^* \\ &= f(\tilde{k}^*) - s \cdot f(\tilde{k}^*)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Intertemporal choice:
 - ↪ Higher consumption
 - ⇒ Lower saving and investment

Social Welfare Maximization

- ▶ **Simple rule:** Consider consumption per head in steady state

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}^*(\tilde{k}^*) &= \tilde{y}^* - s \cdot \tilde{y}^* \\ &= f(\tilde{k}^*) - s \cdot f(\tilde{k}^*)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Intertemporal choice:
 - ↪ Higher consumption
 - ⇒ Lower saving and investment
 - ⇒ lower \tilde{k}^*
 - ⇒ lower \tilde{y}^*

Social Welfare Maximization

- ▶ **Simple rule:** Consider consumption per head in steady state

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}^*(\tilde{k}^*) &= \tilde{y}^* - s \cdot \tilde{y}^* \\ &= f(\tilde{k}^*) - s \cdot f(\tilde{k}^*)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Intertemporal choice:
 - ↪ Higher consumption
 - ⇒ Lower saving and investment
 - ⇒ lower \tilde{k}^*
 - ⇒ lower \tilde{y}^*
 - ⇒ lower lower consumption

Social Welfare Maximization

- ▶ **Simple rule:** Consider consumption per head in steady state

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}^*(\tilde{k}^*) &= \tilde{y}^* - s \cdot \tilde{y}^* \\ &= f(\tilde{k}^*) - s \cdot f(\tilde{k}^*)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Intertemporal choice:
 - ↪ Higher consumption
 - ⇒ Lower saving and investment
 - ⇒ lower \tilde{k}^*
 - ⇒ lower \tilde{y}^*
 - ⇒ lower lower consumption

$$C \uparrow \Rightarrow S \downarrow \Rightarrow I \downarrow \Rightarrow \tilde{k}^* \downarrow \Rightarrow C \downarrow$$

Golden Rule

Definition (Golden Rule Steady-State)

$$\max_{\tilde{k}^*} \tilde{c}^*(\tilde{k}^*)$$

gives us the \tilde{k}^ which maximizes consumption on the steady-state growth path*

Golden Rule

Definition (Golden Rule Steady-State)

$$\max_{\tilde{k}^*} \tilde{c}^*(\tilde{k}^*)$$

gives us the \tilde{k}^ which maximizes consumption on the steady-state growth path*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}^* &\equiv \frac{C^*}{AL} = f(\tilde{k}^*) - s \cdot f(\tilde{k}^*) \\ &= f(\tilde{k}^*) - (\delta + n + g) \cdot \tilde{k}^*\end{aligned}$$

Golden Rule

$$\max_{\tilde{k}^*} \tilde{c}^*(\tilde{k}^*)$$

$$\Rightarrow f'(\tilde{k}^*) - \delta = n + g$$

Net marginal product of capital = Growth rate of capital/output

Golden Rule

$$\max_{\tilde{k}^*} \tilde{c}^*(\tilde{k}^*)$$

$$\Rightarrow f'(\tilde{k}^*) - \delta = n + g$$

Net marginal product of capital = Growth rate of capital/output

- Real rate of interest should be equal to the real rate of growth of the economy
- If capital stock below the golden rule level, the government should encourage saving and investment in the economy

$$Y = F(K, AL)$$
$$= K^\alpha (AL)^{1-\alpha} \quad (\text{Cobb-Douglas})$$

Y grows for three reasons:

1. Growth in K
2. Growth in L
3. Technological Progress (Growth in A)

$$Y = F(K, AL)$$
$$= K^\alpha (AL)^{1-\alpha} \quad (\text{Cobb-Douglas})$$

Y grows for three reasons:

1. Growth in K
2. Growth in L
3. Technological Progress (Growth in A)
 - o Total Factor Productivity Growth (TFPG)
 - o very difficult to measure

$$\frac{\Delta Y}{Y} = \alpha \frac{\Delta K}{K} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{\Delta L}{L} + \underbrace{(1 - \alpha) \frac{\Delta A}{A}}_{\text{TFPG}}$$

Capital income share

Proposition

Share of total income accruing to capital remains constant in steady state

Capital income share

Proposition

Share of total income accruing to capital remains constant in steady state

- ◉ Share of capital income: $\frac{(r + \delta) \cdot K}{Y}$
 - $\frac{K}{Y}$ is constant (steady state property)

Capital income share

Proposition

Share of total income accruing to capital remains constant in steady state

- ◉ Share of capital income: $\frac{(r + \delta) \cdot K}{Y}$
 - $\frac{K}{Y}$ is constant (steady state property)
 - $r + \delta = f'(\tilde{k}_t)$ is constant (steady state property)

Capital income share

Proposition

For Cobb-Douglas production function $Y = K^\alpha(AL)^{1-\alpha}$, the share of capital income and labour income is α and $(1 - \alpha)$ respectively

Capital income share

Proposition

For Cobb-Douglas production function $Y = K^\alpha(AL)^{1-\alpha}$, the share of capital income and labour income is α and $(1 - \alpha)$ respectively

- ◆ **Tutorial 17:** Show that for a Cobb Douglas production function

$$\frac{r + \delta \cdot K}{Y} = \alpha$$

Capital income share

Proposition

For Cobb-Douglas production function $Y = K^\alpha(AL)^{1-\alpha}$, the share of capital income and labour income is α and $(1 - \alpha)$ respectively

- ◇ **Tutorial 17:** Show that for a Cobb Douglas production function

$$\frac{r + \delta \cdot K}{Y} = \alpha$$

- $\frac{(r + \delta) \cdot K}{Y}$ is easy to measure.
- For most developed economies, $\alpha \approx \frac{1}{3}$.

Total Factor Productivity

Definition (Total Factor Productivity Growth)

Growth of output that cannot be explained by growth of inputs. It is also called the Solow residual because it measures the residual growth and was first measured by Solow in 1957.

$$\text{TFPG} = \frac{\Delta Y}{Y} - \left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{\Delta K}{K} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{\Delta L}{L} \right)$$

Total Factor Productivity

Definition (Total Factor Productivity Growth)

Growth of output that cannot be explained by growth of inputs. It is also called the Solow residual because it measures the residual growth and was first measured by Solow in 1957.

$$\text{TFPG} = \frac{\Delta Y}{Y} - \left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{\Delta K}{K} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{\Delta L}{L} \right)$$

- **US:** TFPG accounts for one-third of the growth
- **UK:** TFPG accounts for half of the growth

Summary

Two things are less than satisfactory with Solow Growth model

1. TFP is exogenous

- we cannot explain **exactly** why we get growth in steady state
- it does not tell us how to encourage growth
- e.g. cannot explain the slowdown in the 70s

Summary

Two things are less than satisfactory with Solow Growth model

1. TFP is exogenous
 - we cannot explain **exactly** why we get growth in steady state
 - it does not tell us how to encourage growth
 - e.g. cannot explain the slowdown in the 70s
2. Global convergence of steady-state growth rate of output per capita to g
 - g is largely common knowledge
 - we do not observe this in practice

Summary

Two things are less than satisfactory with Solow Growth model

1. TFP is exogenous
 - we cannot explain **exactly** why we get growth in steady state
 - it does not tell us how to encourage growth
 - e.g. cannot explain the slowdown in the 70s
 2. Global convergence of steady-state growth rate of output per capita to g
 - g is largely common knowledge
 - we do not observe this in practice
- Solow Model just tells us that we can solve the growth conundrum by looking for answers in technological progress.